What role can Japan play to enhance ASEAN's economic competitiveness?

Fumitaka Furuoka Asia-Europe Institute Universiti Malaya

Introduction

- At the time of its inception on 8 August, 1967, ASEAN was a political block, not an economic project to enhance the region's economic competitiveness.
- It was only in 1992, when the ASEAN Free Trade Area (AFTA) agreement was signed, that ASEAN began pursuing its first serious economic agenda (Imada and Naya, 1992).



Signing of Bangkok declaration to establish ASEAN in 1967

Rise of Japan and its relations with ASEAN

- The formative years of ASEAN in the 1970s coincided with the period of Japan's rapid economic expansion in the region.
- Large volumes of Japan's exportation of synthetic rubber was perceived as a serious economic threat to countries exporting natural rubber, such as Malaysia and Indonesia.
- In 1972, the Malaysian government requested that Japan take "self-restraint" measures to solve these trade tensions. However, the Japanese government under Prime Minister Kakuei Tanaka (from July 1972 to December 1974) refused to take any such measures (Yamakage, 2012).



Kakuei Tanaka (1918-1993)

Diplomatic breakthrough

 In the efforts to mitigate the trade frictions between ASEAN and Japan, Indonesian Minister of Foreign Affairs Adam Malik, as Chairman of the ASEAN Standing Committee, achieved a diplomatic breakthrough by making an official ASEAN recommendation on synthetic rubber issues to Japan (Nasrudin, 2023).



Adam Malik (1917-1984)

ASEAN-Japan Synthetic Rubber Forum in 1973

- In the ASEAN Ministerial Meeting (AMM) in 1973, the foreign ministers of the countries in the region jointly criticized Japan for producing and exporting large volumes of synthetic rubber.
- To respond to the criticisms, the Japanese government made a compromise. It agreed to set up the Japan-ASEAN Synthetic Rubber Forum in 1973. The event marked an official beginning of Japan-ASEAN relations (Yamakage, 2012).



6th ASEAN Ministerial Meeting in 1973

Theory of Japan-ASEAN relations during the "rise of Japan"

- Japan's economic contribution to ASEAN during the period of "rise of Japan" was theoretically formalized by Kaname Akamatsu in his "flying geese" model of Asian economic development (Akamatsu, 1962)
- According to the model, in the first stage of economic development, all production bases were concentrated in Japan. In the second stage, some labourintensive industries were transferred from Japan to newly industrialising economies (NIEs), such as South Korea, Taiwan and Singapore



Kaname Akamatsu (1897-1974)

Third stage of economic development

- In the third stage, the labourintensive industries were transferred to Southeast Asia.
- Japan also contributed to enhancing ASEAN's economic competitiveness through Japanese Foreign Direct Investment (FDI).
- In other words, Japanese FDI has provided support to ASEAN countries' pursuit of industrialization since the 1980s.



ASEAN Economic Community in 2015

- In 2008, ASEAN countries showed aspirations to become an "EU-style community" by signing the ASEAN Charter.
- In 2015, an ambitious ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) was established.
- However, only 401 measures out of 506 could be achieved in the efforts to become a fully integrated community (Menon and Melendez, 2017)

	Free Flow of Goods	Free Flow of Services	Free Flow of Investment	Freer Flow of Capital	Free Flow of Skilled Labor	Priority Integration Sectors	Food, Agriculture and Forestry	Competition Policy	Consumer Protection	Intellectual Property Rights	Transport	Energy	Mineral	ICT	Ta xation	E-commerce	SME Development	Initiative for ASEAN Integration (IAI)	External Economic Relations
Brunei Darussalam	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
															_				
Cambodia	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Indonesia	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	•
Lao PDR						•		•					•	•	•				
Malaysia	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Myanmar	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Dhillionines																			
Philippines	•	-	-	-	•	•	-		-	-	-	-	-	•	-	•	-	-	-
Singapore	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Thailand	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Viet Nam	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
ASEAN	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•

Indicates that all measures targeted in this area were implemented

Indicates that more than half of measures targeted in this area were implemented

Indicates that less than half of measures targeted in this area were implemented

Springboard for wider integration

- The unique characteristic of ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) is the pursuit of "open regionalism".
- The four pillars of the AEC are: 1) single market and production base, 2) a highly competitive economic region, 3) a region of equitable economic development, 4) a region that is fully integrated with the global economy.
- In this context, AEC could be seen as a springboard for wider multilateral liberalization (Menon, 2014).



ASEAN Plus six countries (China, Korea, Japan, Australia, New Zealand, India)

Theory of ASEAN's open regionalism

- ASEAN's open regionalism is not a new concept for economic integration experts.
- The progressive idea of "ASEAN open regionalism" was first voiced and promoted by Japanese economist Noboru Yamamoto in 1985.



Noburu Yamamoto (1912-1991)

Yamamoto (1985) theorised that, in a wider economic integration in the region, ASEAN would play a central role in consolidating and coordinating the neighbouring countries' efforts.

Figure 4: ASEAN Centrality in the Asian regionalism



Source: Yamamoto (1985)

Establishment of RCEP

As Yamamoto has predicted, ASEAN formed the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership (RCEP) by signing free trade agreement (FTA) with five major dialogue partners, namely, Australia, New Zealand, China, South Korea and Japan on 15 November, 2020.

RCEP agreement took effect on 1 January 2022 after 60% of ASEAN member countries (more than 6 ASEAN countries) and dialogue partner countries (more than 3 non-ASEAN countries) had ratified the agreements.

AUSTRALIA BRUNEI CAMBODIA CHINA INDONESIA JAPAN LAOS MALAYSIA MYANMAR NEW ZEALAND PHILIPPINES SINGAPORE SOUTH KOREA THAILAND VIETNAM

RCEP

REGIONAL COMPREHENSIVE ECONOMIC PARTNERSHIP

From globalisation to multiplex regionalism

The establishment of RCEP could be a sign of the "end" of globalization. Global economy enters a new phase of a "multiplex theater of regionalisms". There are currently three economic blocks, namely, Europe, North America,



Trade groupings involving Asia-Pacific nations



(**)

	GDP (billion International \$) 2023	GDP per capita (international \$) 2023	Economic growth (2013-2023)	Population (million) 2023
RCEP	I\$ 56,822 billion	I\$ 24,519	5.6%	2,317 million
ASEAN	I\$ 12,766 billion	I\$ 18,650	4.9%	685 million
EU	I\$ 23,578 billion	I\$ 53,803	1.8%	483 million
BRICS	I\$ 68,842 billion	I\$ 19,085	5.4%	3,607 million
CPTPP (Comprehensive and Progressive Agreement for TPP or TPP11)	I\$ 18,157 billion	I\$ 35,233	2.1%	515 million

Note: BRICS is currently not an economic integration. It is an interstate economic association of nine countries -- Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa, the United Arab Emirates, Iran, Egypt, and Ethiopia

1. Japan's role (Intra-regional trade)

What can Japan do in the new global economic reality? Firstly, there is a steady rise in the volume of intra-regional trade in ASEAN. However, this share in the total global trade remains unchanged at around 20-25%. Japan can work with ASEAN countries to boost the intraregional trade (e.g., creating "Made-in ASEAN").



2. Japan's role (non-tariff barriers)

- The main problem for RCEP is the non-tariff barriers (NTBs).
- Between 2000 and 2015, there was a rapid rise in NTBs from 1,634 to 5,975 (Ing, 2016).
- Japan can work with ASEAN countries to reduce NTBs in the region, e.g., through harmonization of regulatory requirements.

Country	Total	SPS (%)	TBT (%)	Export	Others (%)
	(Number)			related	
				measures	
				(%)	
Brunei	516	31	56	9	4
Cambodia	243	15	50	29	7
Indonesia	638	20	51	12	18
Lao PDR	301	13	30	27	30
Malaysia	713	36	47	10	7
Myanmar	172	44	24	20	12
Philippines	854	27	42	17	13
Singapore	529	24	59	9	7
Thailand	1630	48	34	8	9
Viet Nam	379	37	37	17	8
ASEAN Total	5975	29	43	16	12

Notes: SPS = Sanitary and Phytosanitary Standards; TBT = Technical Barriers to Trade

References

- ASEAN (2015). Blueprint for growth. [accessed on 2 January 2024]
- https://www.asean.org/wp-content/uploads/images/2015/November/media-summary-ABIS/AEC%202015%20Progress%20and%20Key%20Achievements_04.11.2015.pdf
- Imada, P. and Naya, S. (1992). AFTA: The Way Ahead. Singapore: Institute of Southeast Asian Studies (ISEAS)
- Ing, L. Y. 2016. Non-Tariff Measures in ASEAN. Jakarta: ERIA. Available at http://www.eria.org/non-tariff-measures-in-asean.pdf
- Menon, J. (2014). An ASEAN Economic Community by 2015?, [2 January 2024], https://cepr.org/voxeu/columns/asean-economic-community-2015.
- Menon, J. & Melendez, A.C. (2017). Will 2025 be the final deadline for the ASEAN Economic Community? [accessed on 2 January 2024], <u>https://www.asiapathways-adbi.org/2017/07/will-2025-be-the-final-deadline-for-the-asean-economic-community/</u>
- Menon, J. (2021). Using Regionalism for Globalisation: The ASEAN Way.
- Yamakage, S. (2012). ASEAN's Positive Record and Ambitious. [accessed on 2 June 2024], <u>https://www.nippon.com/en/in-depth/a01502/</u>
- Noboru Yamamoto (1985) World Economy, Tokyo: Senbudo

Thank you so much

Fumitaka