# REGIONAL CONNECTIVITY AND CROSS BORDER COOPERATION IN ASEAN

Firdaos Rosli

Senior Analyst

Institute of Strategic and International Studies (ISIS), MALAYSIA



The 6<sup>th</sup> Pan-Beibu Gulf Economic Cooperation Forum Session 2: A New Route of Growth

16:10-17:40

Thursday, 18 August 2011

#### **Regional integration process in ASEAN ASEAN** Roadmap **ASEAN** Hanoi ASEAN Free for ASEAN The birth Vientiane Bali **ASEAN** Master Plan Economic Trade Vision Plan of Community Action on ASEAN of ASEAN Accord II Community Charter by 2015 2020 Action Programme Connectivity Area Blueprint 1967 2003 2008 2009 (AFTA) 2010 2004 1997 1998 2007 1992 Source : ASEAN Secretariat

### Regional connectivity in the context of Master Plan on ASEAN Connectivity (MPAC)

- In July 2009, Thailand proposed the idea of enhancing ASEAN connectivity as a strategic goal of ASEAN towards a more competitive ASEAN Community 2015. It aims to bring <u>people, goods, services and capital</u> <u>closer</u> together in accordance with the ASEAN Charter.
- The idea : allow <u>freer travel passage and with minimal impediments</u> within the ASEAN region.
- MPAC has significance only if all ASEAN Member States and indeed all citizens are <u>stakeholders</u>, i.e. must benefit all and leave no country or individuals in the margins.
- Coverage of MPAC <u>physical</u> connectivity, <u>institutional</u> connectivity and <u>people-to-people</u> connectivity with a total of <u>15 prioritised projects</u>.
- However, the problems caused by transnational crime, illegal immigration, environmental degradation and pollution, and other cross-border challenges <u>should</u> be addressed properly.

### Issues

### Government

- The prospect of an immediate widespread <u>global economic slowdown</u> due to European debt crisis and weak fundamentals of the US economy may <u>hamper</u> the <u>implementation</u> of <u>priority and current connectivity projects</u>. As the global economic gravity is shifting to the East, <u>funding</u> for infrastructure projects are most likely to be sourced from the <u>Plus Three partners</u>. This raises the question of how ASEAN countries to tap these funds.
- National planning and regulatory coherence amongst ASEAN Member States is important to:
  - avoid <u>duplication</u>, <u>conflicting</u> or <u>burdensome enforcement systems</u> to facilitate <u>smoother</u> movement of goods, services, capital and people;
  - promote economic growth in a <u>transparent</u>, <u>effective</u>, <u>enforceable</u> and <u>mutually coherent regulatory system</u> based on international best practices; and
  - Greater transparency and consultation process in national infrastructure plans.
- Although the proposed ASEAN Infrastructure Fund and financing assistance from other interested parties (China and Japan) would ease the capital burden for infrastructure projects, <u>nothing is concrete as yet</u>. Planning and disbursement of AIF will <u>take time</u>.

### Issues (cont.)

- Private sector
  - Cross-border infrastructure projects are large and require massive expenditures and long-term payout periods. As such, project operators are <u>exposed to revenue risks</u>, due to <u>pricing</u>, <u>duration</u> and <u>currency</u> <u>exposure</u>. EU's <u>operator compensation system and common regulatory</u> approach would be a good yardstick to circumvent this potential setback. However, the funding mechanism requires strong institutional setups.
- Social (the human side of connectivity)
  - A freer flow of people within the region would create as many problems as it solves as in the case of <u>migrant workers</u>, <u>transnational</u> <u>crime</u> (weapons smuggling, human trafficking and drug smuggling) and <u>illegal immigrants</u>. This calls for much <u>stronger bilateral and regional</u> <u>cooperation</u> in these areas.

### Conclusion

- A house divided against itself cannot stand A more globalised world and better connected ASEAN demand a more <u>effective</u> <u>mechanism</u> in further strengthening engagement on information and intelligence sharing, but also to <u>close</u> the legal, regulatory and capacity <u>gaps</u> – <u>domestically and regionally</u>.
- Intra-ASEAN policy and process alignment are necessary to ensure that <u>better integrity</u> is maintained though regional integration. That is, the <u>degree of enforcement</u> by all ASEAN countries must be <u>reciprocal</u> and operate according to the <u>same high standards</u>.
- Regional integration, in the context of MPAC, must be able to <u>tackle</u> where it matters – the <u>equilibrium</u> of <u>political</u>, <u>geo-strategic</u> and <u>economic</u> justifications will ensure the success of the master plan.

## **THANK YOU**



**ISIS MALAYSIA**